Comparison of pediatric patients with status epilepticus lasting 5–29 min versus ≥ 30 min
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1. Introduction

The classical definition of status epilepticus (SE) or “established” SE requires that seizures last for a minimum of 30 min [1]. This definition has been widely used in the literature. However, when seizures last longer than 5 min, they are unlikely to stop spontaneously both in adults and in children [2,3] and meet the definition of “impending” SE [4]. At present, both definitions of SE (with a threshold seizure duration of 5 and 30 min) coexist in the literature, but there is a striking lack of data comparing the characteristics of “impending” SE versus “established” SE [5]. A series of 226 patients (135 adults and 91 children) with prolonged seizures compared the characteristics of “impending” and “established” SE [5]. However, in this study, the characteristics of the 91 pediatric patients were analyzed together with those of the 135 adult patients [5]. Therefore, there is the need to evaluate whether the different thresholds for SE duration (5 or 30 min) identify patient populations with different electroclinical characteristics.

This study aimed to address this gap in knowledge by describing and comparing the electroclinical characteristics of pediatric patients with a SE duration of 5–29 min (SE5–29) to those with a SE duration of ≥ 30 min (SE≥30).

2. Patients and methods

2.1. Study characteristics, design, and setting

This study was approved by the Institutional Review Board of Boston Children’s Hospital, and, therefore, has been performed in accordance...
with the ethical standards laid down in the 1964 Declaration of Helsinki and its later amendments. This was a retrospective descriptive cohort study of pediatric patients presenting with seizures of at least 5 min in duration at a tertiary referral pediatric hospital.

2.2. Participants

Charts of patients admitted to the hospital for seizures from January 1st, 2005 to December 31st, 2010 were screened. Patients were identified through two different word search mechanisms of our electronic medical records. The search terms “seizure”, “status epilepticus”, “convulsions”, and “epilepsy” were used in the reason for admission, discharge diagnoses, and body of the clinical documents. Inclusion criteria were the following: 1) 1 month to 21 years of age at the time of a SE episode, 2) convulsive seizures at onset of SE, and 3) seizure duration of at least 5 min. Exclusion criteria were the following: 1) exclusively neonatal seizures, 2) psychogenic nonepileptic seizures or seizures of unclear epileptic nature, and 3) SE with incomplete or unclear information about seizure duration.

If a patient presented with an episode of ≥ 30 min during the study period, that patient was considered to belong to the SE ≥30 group regardless of whether this patient had a seizure of 5 to 29 min at any other time during the study period. Both single continuous prolonged seizures and repetitive seizures without return to baseline were considered as SE as long as they met the threshold duration. Both focal and generalized seizures were considered SE as long as they met the threshold duration.

2.3. Clinical variables

Demographic and clinical variables included age at seizure onset, age at SE, seizure frequency, number of antiepileptic drugs, seizure semiology at baseline, and EEG and magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) results.

2.4. Assessments

The main exposure was duration of seizures stratifying by 5 or 30 min. Based on the two subgroups defined by these two seizure duration thresholds, the outcome measures, electroclinical characteristics, were compared in subjects with SE <30 versus those with SE ≥30.

2.5. Statistical analysis

On univariate analysis, categorical variables were compared with Fisher’s exact tests, and continuous variables were compared with Wilcoxon rank-sum tests. On multivariate analysis, the influence of seizure duration on death was evaluated controlling for potential confounders. All tests were performed at a “two-sided” significance level of 0.05. For all statistical analyses, STATA 12.0 (Stata Corp., College Station, TX, USA) was used.

3. Results

3.1. Demographic and clinical characteristics

Four hundred forty-five patients with a median age at SE of 5.5 years were included. Status epilepticus lasted for 5–29 min in 296 (66.5%) patients and for ≥ 30 min in 149 (33.5%). The specific duration of SE is presented in Fig. 1. Patients with SE <30 were younger at the time of seizure onset and at the time of the SE episode. After stratification by SE type (febrile versus nonfebrile SE), the differences in age at the first seizure persisted for the group with nonfebrile SE. In contrast, stratification by SE type showed that the differences at the age of the SE episode were reflecting the different distributions of febrile SE in the comparison groups. Additionally, patients with SE <30 more frequently presented SE as the first seizure presentation compared to patients with SE ≥30. After stratification by febrile versus nonfebrile SE, the predominance of SE as the first seizure presentation for the SE <30 group remained marginally significant for the nonfebrile SE group. Etiologies were different between the two groups. Three hundred thirty-three patients had a prior diagnosis of epilepsy, 246 of whom were on treatment without a difference in duration 5–29 or ≥30 min between those treated and those not treated at baseline. Details are presented in Table 1.

3.2. Electroencephalographic findings

An EEG was performed prior to SE in 252 patients, with the baseline being abnormal in 218 (86.5%). The most frequent EEG abnormalities were generalized, and the most frequent types of abnormalities were spikes and nonepileptiform abnormalities such as slowing or asymmetries. There was no difference between patients with SE <29 and patients with SE ≥30. Details are presented in Table 2.

3.3. MRI findings

An MRI was performed in 227 patients prior to the SE episode, being abnormal in 140 (61.7%). Among the abnormalities found in the MRI, most were bilateral, the most frequent localization was in the temporal lobe, and this site was observed to be more common in patients with SE <29 than in patients with SE ≥30. The most frequent type of MRI abnormality was volume loss. Details are presented in Table 3.

3.4. Outcomes

Patients were followed up for a median of 3.7 years after SE. Twenty-one patients (4.7%) died, with a median time of 3.1 years from SE until death, and most of these deaths were unrelated to epilepsy (Table 4). Using logistic regression considering death as the primary outcome and duration of SE as the predictor and controlling for duration of follow-up, every additional minute of SE duration increased the odds ratio of dying by 0.005 (Online Table 1). When controlling for both duration of follow-up and age, this association persisted and also showed an increase of 0.005 in the odds of dying per minute of SE duration (Online Table 2). When controlling for duration of follow-up, age, and etiology, this association persisted and showed an increase of 0.004 in the odds of dying per minute of SE duration (Online Table 3). The increase in mortality with increasing duration of SE does not appear to be secondary to an outlier effect as shown by the progressive increase in the proportion of mortality across all SE durations (Fig. 2 and Table 5).
An increase in mortality with longer duration of SE was previously noted in the literature. One series showed that pediatric mortality was 0% for SE lasting 10 to 29 min and 4% for SE ≥30 min, although this difference did not reach statistical significance due to the low number of events in each group [5]. Our series had enough statistical power to show that each minute increase of SE duration led to a higher odds ratio of death by 0.005, a small but significant increase possibly suggesting a continuous rather than a discrete cut-off value for the risk of dying. Longer SE duration likely reflects a more severe underlying SE cause [6,7].
although when controlling for etiology in three large groups (febrile/infectious, others, unknown), differences in mortality persisted.

The traditional definition of SE (seizure activity ≥ 30 min) is not operationally used in clinical practice because of the realization that seizures are more difficult to treat the longer they last [8–12]. In addition, seizures that last ≥ 5 min are likely to persist without medical intervention both in adults [2] and in children [3]. Thus, seizures ≥ 5 min of duration are often considered as “impending” SE and treated aggressively. A concern that may arise when treating patients with seizure duration of ≥ 5 min equally aggressive as patients with seizure duration of ≥ 30 min is whether the patients identified by one and the other thresholds represent the same or equivalent population of patients. Our study suggests that these patient populations are comparable.

4.1. Strengths and weaknesses

Although interrater reliability in recognizing SE is usually good [13], clinical features were interpreted by different medical teams introducing information bias and leaving room for misclassification. We overcame this potential challenge by classifying SE based on objective seizure durations in the clinical charts and not based on different interpretations of the definitions of SE by the individual medical teams. The potential for information bias in retrospective data collection was overcome by collecting information with a standardized data collection instrument.

One of the main factors predicting SE duration is timely and appropriate treatment of SE [9,10], and it is likely that the duration of SE was influenced by the timing of treatment administration. Because of the retrospective nature of the present study, this information was not available, and it was not possible to control for it. In ongoing prospective studies, we are collecting information of timing of antiepileptic drug administration. Differences between groups reached statistical significance but were of moderate clinical relevance like the age at seizure onset or the age of SE episode. As a descriptive, hypothesis-generating study, we did not find it necessary to correct for multiple comparisons, and some of these differences could have arisen by chance. Considering the sample size of our study and the relatively minor differences between the groups, our results suggest that the patient populations captured are similar regardless of the use of a 5- or 30-minute threshold.

Results need to be interpreted in the clinical context of data acquisition. This is a study of patients admitted to a tertiary referral hospital. Although patients admitted to the emergency department and discharged without inpatient admission were also included in the study, there is likely a certain degree of selection bias in the study population. A patient with chronic epilepsy and frequent seizures may not go to the hospital even when a seizure is prolonged. This limitation is inherent to a hospital-based study and could only be overcome by performing a study that includes patients who are not cared for in the hospital during most of their episodes. On the other hand, a hospital-based study provides a large number of patients and is less resource-intensive.

Some SEs ≥ 29 patients may have had episodes of longer duration before or after the study period, but both sets of patients may have averaged out based on our numbers, and they likely also represent a minor proportion of the patients given the long study period. We had no information on the occurrence of seizures or their duration before or after the study period. Having a prolonged seizure is a risk factor for having another prolonged seizure and is also an indication for having rescue medications at home. We did not have information on the proportion of patients with rescue medication at home or the proportion of patients who used rescue medication during the episode. We have provided information on the proportion of patients in whom the index episode was ≥ 5 min equally aggressive as patients with seizure duration of ≥ 30 min.

Table 2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>EEG features</th>
<th>Total, N (%)</th>
<th>5–29 min, N (%)</th>
<th>≥ 30 min, N (%)</th>
<th>Difference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Abnormal EEG prior to SE (N = 252)</td>
<td>Abnormal</td>
<td>218 (86.5%)</td>
<td>142 (84.5%)</td>
<td>76 (90.5%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>34 (13.5%)</td>
<td>26 (15.5%)</td>
<td>8 (9.5%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Focal vs generalized EEG abnormality (N = 217)</td>
<td>Focal</td>
<td>90 (41.5%)</td>
<td>59 (41.8%)</td>
<td>31 (40.8%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Generalized</td>
<td>127 (58.5%)</td>
<td>82 (58.2%)</td>
<td>45 (59.2%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type of abnormality on EEG^a</td>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Spikes</td>
<td>193</td>
<td>125</td>
<td>68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Seizures</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Nonepileptiform abnormalities</td>
<td>139</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>47</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

EEG: electroencephalogram, FE: Fisher’s exact test, N/A: not applicable, and SE: status epilepticus.

^a Numbers do not sum up to the total as some patients belong to several categories.

Table 3

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>MRI features</th>
<th>Total, N (%)</th>
<th>5–29 min, N (%)</th>
<th>≥ 30 min, N (%)</th>
<th>Difference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Abnormal MRI prior to SE (N = 227)</td>
<td>Abnormal</td>
<td>140 (61.7%)</td>
<td>85 (57.1%)</td>
<td>55 (70.5%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>87 (38.3%)</td>
<td>64 (43%)</td>
<td>23 (29.5%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lateralsation of MRI abnormality (N = 227)</td>
<td>Left</td>
<td>36 (15.9%)</td>
<td>22 (14.8%)</td>
<td>14 (18%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Right</td>
<td>25 (11%)</td>
<td>13 (8.7%)</td>
<td>12 (15.4%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Bilateral</td>
<td>61 (26.6%)</td>
<td>38 (23.5%)</td>
<td>23 (29.5%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>None</td>
<td>105 (46.3%)</td>
<td>76 (51%)</td>
<td>29 (37.2%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Localization of MRI abnormality^a</td>
<td>Temporal</td>
<td>68 (29.3%)</td>
<td>37 (23.1%)</td>
<td>31 (43.1%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Extratemporal</td>
<td>25 (10.8%)</td>
<td>22 (13.8%)</td>
<td>3 (4.2%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Periventricular</td>
<td>50 (21.6%)</td>
<td>35 (21.9%)</td>
<td>15 (20.8%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>89 (38.4%)</td>
<td>66 (41.3%)</td>
<td>23 (31.9%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MRI findings^a</td>
<td>Vascular</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Volume loss</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Malformation</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Myelination defect</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>104</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

FE: Fisher’s exact test, MRI: magnetic resonance imaging, N/A: not applicable, and SE: status epilepticus.

^a Numbers do not sum up to the total as some patients belong to several categories.
the first seizure, the proportion of patients with a prior diagnosis of epilepsy, and the proportion of patients with a diagnosis of epilepsy on chronic treatment. Since this is a retrospective study, there were certain data that were missing in some of the categories. For example, not all patients with prior seizures had data available on seizure frequency. Therefore, results ultimately need to be interpreted in the setting of partially missing data and information bias, inherent to all similar studies. A major strength of this study is the large patient numbers, allowing us to establish the correlation between seizure duration and odds ratio of death.

4.2. Outlook

Starting from the end date of this retrospective data collection, in 2011, we are developing a larger prospective data series at several centers within the pediatric Status Epilepticus Research Group (pSERG; www.pserg.org) to evaluate the differences between patients with SE duration ≥ 30 and the association of duration of SE with death in more detail. In addition, the time of administration of antiepileptic drugs is being systematically collected to control for that relevant confounding factor.

4.3. Conclusions

Most baseline characteristics of pediatric patients with SE are similar regardless of the threshold duration defines SE. These similarities may facilitate comparisons of prior studies with different SE duration thresholds and may influence future definitions of SE. Seizures present earlier in patients with "established" SE than in patients with "impending" SE. Longer duration of SE correlates with higher odds of subsequent death.
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