Atrophy in Distributed Networks Predicts Cognition in Alzheimer’s Disease and Type 2 Diabetes
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Abstract

Background: Alzheimer’s disease (AD) and type 2 diabetes (T2DM) are common causes of cognitive decline among older adults and share strong epidemiological links. Distinct patterns of cortical atrophy are observed in AD and T2DM, but robust comparisons between structure-function relationships across these two disease states are lacking.

Objective: To compare how atrophy within distributed brain networks is related to cognition across the spectrum of cognitive aging.

Methods: The relationship between structural MRI changes and cognition was studied in 22 mild-to-moderate AD, 28 T2DM, and 27 healthy participants. Cortical thickness measurements were obtained from networks of interest (NOIs) matching the limbic, default, and frontoparietal resting-state networks. Composite cognitive scores capturing domains of global cognition, memory, and executive function were created. Associations between cognitive scores and the NOIs were assessed using linear regression, with age as a covariate. Within-network General Linear Model (GLM) analysis was run in Freesurfer 6.0 to visualize differences in patterns of cortical atrophy related to cognitive function in each group. A secondary analysis examined hemispheric differences in each group.

Results: Across all groups, cortical atrophy within the limbic NOI was significantly correlated with Global Cognition ($p=0.009$) and Memory Composite ($p=0.002$). Within-network GLM analysis and hemispheric analysis revealed qualitatively different patterns of atrophy contributing to cognitive dysfunction between AD and T2DM.

Conclusion: Brain network atrophy is related to cognitive function across AD, T2DM, and healthy participants. Differences in cortical atrophy patterns were seen between AD and T2DM, highlighting neuropathological differences.
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INTRODUCTION

The number of people aged 65 and older is expected to reach one billion worldwide by 2030 [1, 2]. Aging is the strongest risk factor for neurodegenerative disease including Alzheimer’s disease (AD). Atrophy patterns are closely tied to cognitive function in dementia [3], and probing these structure-function relationships in AD has diagnostic, prognostic, and interventional utility [4–6]. Neuroimaging studies in AD have shown a characteristic pattern of cortical thinning associated with disease severity [7]: impairments in learning tend to be
associated with greater atrophy of the temporal pole, while hippocampal and medial temporal lobe atrophy are more predictive of impairments with delayed recall and recognition [8]. In patients with mild cognitive impairment (MCI), cortical atrophy measures can predict risk of progression to AD-type of dementia, with cortical thickness as the strongest individual prognostic marker [9].

Structure-function relationships in other forms of pathological aging are less thoroughly characterized. In older adults, type 2 diabetes (T2DM) has been associated with declines in processing speed, attention, executive function, and free memory recall [10]. Older adults with T2DM show global atrophy and increased burden of microvascular disease [11, 12]. Brain atrophy in T2DM is correlated with disease severity and duration, and may reflect additional neurodegenerative mechanisms aside from microvascular disease [12, 13]. While T2DM is often associated with vascular dementia (VaD), it is also linked to an almost twofold increased risk of AD, likely reflecting a complex interplay between vascular, neurodegenerative, and neurotoxic factors [14]. In order to identify neuroimaging targets for future intervention in T2DM, and determine which patients are at highest risk of cognitive decline, it would be useful to know whether T2DM exhibits similar structure-function relationships to AD. However, prior studies of cortical atrophy and cognition in AD and T2DM have focused on single disease states, examined separate diseasespecific regions of interest, or used atrophy measures other than cortical thickness [15, 16], limiting generalizability.

Measuring cortical thickness within functionally connected brain networks of interest (NOIs) represents a middle-ground between whole-brain analysis and localized region-of-interest methods, offering a potentially powerful tool to quantify atrophy within distributed brain networks. Resting state functional connectivity MRI (rs-fcMRI) can be used to parcellate the brain into functionally connected but spatially separate brain regions showing correlated activity [17]. Recent studies have suggest that these intrinsic brain networks play a role in the distribution, and possibly the pathogenesis, of proteins involved in neurodegenerative diseases [18]. In AD, patterns of tau deposition follow functionally connected brain networks, and greater pathology within these tau-networks is related to disease progression on Braak staging [19]. Prior studies have used an NOI approach to compare cortical atrophy, amyloid-β (Aβ) deposition, and tau distribution in AD [20]. The present study extends the NOI approach one step further, using NOIs to compare the relationship between cortical atrophy and cognition across the spectrum of cognitive aging.

This study used a network-based approach to analyze structure-function relationships between cortical thickness and cognitive function in T2DM and AD participants aged 50 and older, compared to healthy, cognitively-intact older adults. The study tested the hypothesis that declines in global cognition, memory, and executive function would be associated with atrophy in distributed brain NOIs across different conditions of cognitive aging. Furthermore, the study tested the hypothesis that the pattern of atrophy and its relationship to cognitive function would vary between T2DM and AD participants, reflecting differences in underlying brain pathology.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Participants

Neuroimaging and neuropsychological data from 77 adult study participants aged 50 and older who participated in research from 2011 to 2015 at the Berenson-Allen Center for Noninvasive Brain Stimulation at Beth Israel Deaconess Medical Center (BIDMC) were included in this retrospective cross-sectional study. The study was approved by the BIDMC Institutional Review Board, and all study participants provided written informed consent upon enrollment consistent with the Declaration of Helsinki. Study participants comprised three groups: 22 AD, 28 T2DM, and 27 healthy controls (HC). Inclusion criteria in the AD group were a clinical diagnosis of probable mild-to-moderate AD according to DSM-V/NINCDS-ADRDA criteria [21], a Clinical Dementia Rating Scale (CDR) of 1, and a Mini-Mental Status Examination (MMSE) ranging from 18–24. Inclusion criteria for the T2DM participants included a clinical diagnosis of T2DM, relatively good glucose control with an A1c ≤ 10%, and normal cognition (MMSE ≥ 27). HC participants were required to have normal cognition (MMSE ≥ 27) and be non-diabetic (A1c < 6.2). All participants underwent equivalent testing, including a standardized neurological exam, medical history review, formal neuropsychological testing, and a structural MRI scan. Participants were excluded if they had unstable medical conditions, neuropsychiatric conditions, or premorbid IQ below 80 as measured by
the age-adjusted Wechsler Test of Adult Reading (W-TAR).

Neuropsychological testing

Neuropsychological memory testing was performed by a trained psychometrist. Testing included the MMSE and Geriatric Depression Scale (GDS; 15-item) drawn from the National Alzheimer’s Coordination Center’s Uniform Data Set version 1.1 [22]. The Trail Making Test (TMT) was administered, and the time difference in seconds that it took each subject to complete TMT B versus TMT A was calculated (TMTB-A). The Digit Symbol Substitution Test (DSST; number correct in 90 seconds), Digit Span Backwards Length (DSB Length; longest digit span), Logical Memory Story (LMS) Story-A were drawn from the Wechsler Memory Scale-Revised. The LMS included an immediate story recall score (LMS Immediate Recall) and a delayed 30-minute recall score (LMS Delayed Recall) without cueing. Additionally, the Alzheimer’s Disease Assessment Scale-Cognitive Subscale was administered, and the total score (ADAS-Cog Total; 70 item), word list immediate recall subscore (ADAS-Cog Immediate Recall), and word list delayed recognition subscore (ADAS-Cog Delayed Recognition) were analyzed independently [23]. The Rey Auditory Verbal Learning Test (RAVLT) was also administered, and sub-scores of percent correct responses analyzed included a percent correct during initial learning (RAVLT Immediate Recall), 20 minute delayed recall (RAVLT Delayed Recall), and delayed recognition (RAVLT Delayed Recognition) [24, 25]. Neuropsychological scores were not obtained for ADAS-Cog Recall and ADAS-Cog Recognition in one AD participant and one HC, for RAVLT Delayed Recognition in one T2DM participant, for the DSST in one AD participant, and for TMTB-A in one T2DM participant and six AD participants (four of whom were unable to complete either TMT A or TMT B). These participants were excluded from analysis of those missing measures alone.

For each neuropsychological measure, z-scores were calculated by subtracting each individual score from the mean score of the all three groups and dividing by the standard deviation across all three groups. Scores of the TMTB-A, ADAS-Cog Total, ADAS-Cog Immediate Recall, and ADAS-Cog Delayed Recognition were inverted so that higher scores reflected better performance across all tests. Following an approach from the Alzheimer’s Disease Neuroimaging Initiative, composite scores were computed by averaging together z-scores from individual tests so that atrophy patterns could be related to cognitive domains more generally [26, 27]. A Memory Composite was created by from the RAVLT Immediate Recall, RAVLT Delayed Recall, RAVLT Delayed Recognition, LMS Immediate Recall, LMS Delayed Recall, ADAS-Cog Recall, and ADAS-Cog Recognition. An Executive Composite was computed by averaging the z-scores of DSB Length, TMTB-A, and DSST. Global Cognition was measured using the ADAS-Cog Total, which is already a composite score of multiple subtests.

MRI imaging data

A T1-weighted anatomical magnetic resonance imaging scan was obtained in all participants on a 3T scanner (GE Healthcare, Ltd., UK) using a 3D spoiled gradient echo sequence: 162 axial-oriented slices for whole-brain coverage; 240-mm isotropic field-of-view; 0.937-mm × 0.937-mm × 1-mm native resolution; flip angle = 15°; TE/TR ≥ 2.9/6.9 ms; duration ≥ 432 s. T1-weighted anatomical MRIs were analyzed with Freesurfer 6.0 (documented and freely available online at http://surfer.nmr.mgh.harvard.edu/). The technical details of these procedures are described in prior publications [28–41]. To ensure overall accuracy of segmentations and parcellations, all reconstructions were subjected to a rigorous data quality control process: a trained rater reviewed and manually corrected reconstructions when necessary, which were reviewed by an independent rater.

In addition to thickness of neocortical areas, hippocampal volume was calculated in Freesurfer and corrected for individual head size by dividing by total intracranial volume. One T2DM participant with an intracranial volume greater than two standard deviations above the mean was excluded from this analysis alone. Normed hippocampal volumes were then converted to z-scores over all three groups (following the same procedure as the neuropsychological scores) in order to compare hippocampal atrophy between groups.

Measures of network atrophy

Atrophy across distributed brain networks, referred to herein as “network atrophy,” was defined using gray matter cortical thickness measurements within predefined NOIs. NOIs were derived from a
A topoparietal network was chosen because it shows high memory encoding and retrieval [45–49]. The frontal cortex, and temporal pole which are implicated and include the entorhinal cortex, parahippocampal levels of neuropathology on Braak staging [44], these networks encompass brain regions with high limbic and default networks were chosen because and colleagues [17] (Supplementary Figure 1). The group-level functional connectivity maps from Yeo and colleagues [17] (Supplementary Figure 1). The frontal network was chosen because it shows high Aβ distribution and hypometabolism in AD [20], and is thought to play an important role in executive function [43, 50, 51]. Average cortical thickness (in mm) was assessed within each NOI bilaterally. Given the potential for functional specialization and hemispheric asymmetrical atrophy patterns, the left and right hemispheres of each NOI were also measured for use in a secondary analysis.

Statistical analysis

Statistical analyses were performed using JMP Pro 13.0 (SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC) and Stata 14.2 (StataCorp, College Station, TX). Significance was determined with a two-tailed 95% confidence interval ($\alpha = 0.05$). Baseline characteristics were compared for group differences. In the primary analysis, linear regression was used to determine the relationship between cortical thickness and cognitive measures across all three groups. To visualize the within-network atrophy patterns in each group contributing to structure-function relationships, a General Linear Model (GLM) analysis was run using Freesurfer 6.0. Finally, a secondary hemispheric analysis was performed using linear regression to test if there was right/left asymmetry contributing to the relationship between network atrophy and cognition.

Baseline characteristics

Demographics and cognitive scores from some T2DM and HC participants have been previously reported [52]. Baseline characteristics including demographics, atrophy measures, and z-scored neuropsychological measures were tested for significant differences across all three groups. Fischer’s exact test was used for dichotomous variables, and one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) was used for continuous variables. Tukey’s Honestly Significant Difference (HSD) was used for post hoc comparisons between each group. Since age was different between the groups (see Results), and was expected to relate to both brain atrophy and cognition, it was added as a covariate to all subsequent analyses.

To ensure that our dataset was consistent with prior literature [53], the relationship between right and left hippocampal volumes and RAVLT Delayed Recognition were tested in separate linear regression analyses for each group, with age as a covariate. For hippocampal volume analysis, uncorrected $p$-values are reported, and significance is indicated after correction using the Benjamini-Hochberg procedure for controlling the False Discovery Rate (FDR) with a global $\alpha = 0.05$ [54].

Linear regression

Multiple linear regression analyses were performed to assess the relationship between cognitive function and network atrophy (with each NOI separately to avoid collinearity) as well as the influence of participant age and diagnosis. Global Cognition, Memory Composite, and Executive Composite scores were entered as dependent variables into a fixed-effects linear model with the main independent factors of diagnosis (AD, T2DM, HC), thickness, the diagnosis*thickness interaction term, and age as a covariate. Uncorrected $p$-values are reported, and significance is indicated after correction using the Benjamini-Hochberg procedure for FDR with a global $\alpha = 0.05$ [54].

GLM analysis

A GLM analysis using a familywise error rate of 0.05 was run in Freesurfer 6.0 for each neuropsychological test associated with a NOI atrophy in the AD and T2DM groups. GLM analysis was restricted to
vertices within the relevant NOI to identify regions within the network that were significantly associated with that neuropsychological measure, with age as a covariate.

Hemispheric analysis

Separate linear regression analyses were performed using cortical thicknesses within right and left hemisphere NOIs. Linear regression was used to test the associations of memory tests with NOI thickness and hippocampal volumes, with age as a covariate. Only uncorrected p-values are reported in the secondary analysis.

RESULTS

Baseline characteristics

AD participants were significantly older than the HC group, and reported higher depression scores on the GDS (Table 1). The AD group showed atrophy within all NOIs and reduced hippocampal volume compared to HC and T2DM. No significant differences in network thickness or corrected hippocampal volume were seen between T2DM and HC groups. AD participants scored significantly worse on all cognitive tests compared to HC, and T2DM group scored in the intermediate range between the AD and HC on multiple measures.

Left hippocampal volume was associated with RAVLT Delayed Recognition in AD ($p = 0.0029$) and T2DM ($p = 0.019$). After correction with FDR, only the association in AD remained significant.

Multiple linear regression

None of the analyses yielded a significant diagnosis*thickness interaction ($p$ values > 0.09), indicating no effect modification. Therefore, the models were rerun without the interaction term. Linear regression relationships between cortical thickness and composite cognitive scores are shown in Fig. 1.

![Fig. 1. NOI thickness and cognitive composite scores. Within each NOI, linear regression between cortical thickness and cognitive composite scores are shown. Models which were significant based on uncorrected $p$-values are marked with a black box. (a) There is a significant relationship between cortical thickness in the limbic NOI and Global Cognition, (d) between cortical thickness in the limbic NOI and Memory Composite, and (i) between cortical thickness in the frontoparietal NOI and Executive Composite.](image-url)
Significant values with p < 0.05 are shown in bold, and are further characterized using Tukey’s HSD to compare means between all three groups.

**Limbic NOI**

There was a significant main effect of cortical thickness in the linear models for Global Cognition (p = 0.009) and Memory Composite (p = 0.002), indicating that limbic network atrophy was related to global cognition and memory function independent of group and controlling for age. After adjusting for multiple comparisons using FDR, both relationships remained significant.

**Default NOI**

There were no significant associations between cortical thickness within the default NOI and Global Cognition, Memory Composite, or Executive Composite.

**Frontoparietal NOI**

For Executive Composite, the linear model showed a main effect of cortical thickness (p = 0.033), indicating that frontoparietal network atrophy was related to memory function independent of group and controlling for age. This relationship was not significant after adjusting for multiple comparisons using FDR.

**GLM analysis**

Within-network GLM analysis relating cortical thickness in the limbic NOI with cognitive scores are shown for Global Cognition (Supplementary Figure 2) and Memory Composite (Supplementary Figure 3). In the AD group, cortical thickness within the medial temporal lobes was associated with Global Cognition and Memory Composite, with a left hemisphere predominance. In T2DM, cortical thickness in the anterior temporal, inferior temporal, and orbitofrontal cortex showed associations with both Global Cognition and Memory Composite. Supplementary Figure 4 shows associations between cortical thickness within the frontoparietal NOI and Executive Composite. In AD, cortical thickness in the

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1</th>
<th>Baseline characteristics</th>
<th>HC</th>
<th>T2DM</th>
<th>AD</th>
<th>Significance Tests</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>df</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number (#)</td>
<td></td>
<td>27</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>22</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female # (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td>12 (44%)</td>
<td>13 (46%)</td>
<td>13 (59%)</td>
<td>N = 77, df = 2, 2-tailed p = 0.578; Fisher’s Exact Test</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (y)</td>
<td></td>
<td>61.7 ± 1.6</td>
<td>66.3 ± 1.5</td>
<td>69.6 ± 1.7</td>
<td>2.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MMSE (#/30)</td>
<td></td>
<td>29.4 ± 0.3</td>
<td>29.0 ± 0.3</td>
<td>21.8 ± 0.3</td>
<td>2.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GDS (#/15)</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.5 ± 0.3</td>
<td>1.2 ± 0.3</td>
<td>2.4 ± 0.4</td>
<td>2.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Education (y)</td>
<td></td>
<td>15.8 ± 0.6</td>
<td>15.5 ± 0.5</td>
<td>16.6 ± 0.6</td>
<td>2.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Premorbid IQ (W-TAR)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hippocampal Volume (z-scores)</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.1 ± 0.0</td>
<td>0.2 ± 0.2</td>
<td>0.2 ± 0.2</td>
<td>2.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Global Cognition (z-scores)</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.4 ± 0.2</td>
<td>0.3 ± 0.2</td>
<td>0.3 ± 0.2</td>
<td>2.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Limbic NOI Thickness</td>
<td></td>
<td>2.5 ± 0.0</td>
<td>2.5 ± 0.0</td>
<td>2.4 ± 0.0</td>
<td>2.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Default NOI Thickness</td>
<td></td>
<td>2.3 ± 0.0</td>
<td>2.2 ± 0.0</td>
<td>2.1 ± 0.0</td>
<td>2.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frontoparietal NOI Thickness</td>
<td></td>
<td>113.6 ± 2.4</td>
<td>112.2 ± 2.4</td>
<td>108.2 ± 2.7</td>
<td>2.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Network ROI thicknesses (mm)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RH hippocampal volume/eTIV</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.4 ± 0.2</td>
<td>0.2 ± 0.2</td>
<td>0.2 ± 0.2</td>
<td>2.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LH hippocampal volume/eTIV</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.4 ± 0.2</td>
<td>0.3 ± 0.2</td>
<td>0.3 ± 0.2</td>
<td>2.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ADAS-Cog Total (inverse)</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.6 ± 0.1</td>
<td>0.4 ± 0.1</td>
<td>-1.2 ± 0.1</td>
<td>2.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Memory Composite (z-scores)</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.7 ± 0.1</td>
<td>0.2 ± 0.1</td>
<td>-1.1 ± 0.1</td>
<td>2.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RAVLT Immediate Recall</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.7 ± 0.1</td>
<td>0.2 ± 0.1</td>
<td>-1.2 ± 0.1</td>
<td>2.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RAVLT Delayed Recall</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.6 ± 0.1</td>
<td>0.3 ± 0.1</td>
<td>-1.1 ± 0.1</td>
<td>2.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RAVLT Delayed Recognition</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.6 ± 0.1</td>
<td>0.2 ± 0.1</td>
<td>-1.0 ± 0.2</td>
<td>2.73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LMS Immediate Recall</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.8 ± 0.1</td>
<td>0.05 ± 0.1</td>
<td>-1.0 ± 0.2</td>
<td>2.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LMS Delayed Recall</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.7 ± 0.1</td>
<td>0.1 ± 0.1</td>
<td>-1.0 ± 0.2</td>
<td>2.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ADAS-Cog Immediate Recall (inverse)</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.8 ± 0.1</td>
<td>0.2 ± 0.1</td>
<td>-1.2 ± 0.1</td>
<td>2.73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ADAS-Cog Delayed Recognition (inverse)</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.4 ± 0.2</td>
<td>0.3 ± 0.2</td>
<td>-1.0 ± 0.2</td>
<td>2.73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Executive Composite (z-scores)</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.6 ± 0.1</td>
<td>0.2 ± 0.1</td>
<td>-1.2 ± 0.1</td>
<td>2.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DSB Length</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.5 ± 0.2</td>
<td>0.05 ± 0.2</td>
<td>-0.7 ± 0.2</td>
<td>2.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DSST</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.7 ± 0.1</td>
<td>0.2 ± 0.1</td>
<td>-1.2 ± 0.1</td>
<td>2.73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TMT B-A (inverse)</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.5 ± 0.1</td>
<td>0.3 ± 0.1</td>
<td>-1.4 ± 0.2</td>
<td>2.67</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Gender proportions are shown using Fisher’s exact test. All other results are presented as Mean ± Std error generated from ANOVA.
superior frontal, parietal, and posterior temporal cortex was associated with Executive Composite. In T2DM, associations between cortical thickness and Executive composite were driven by anterior regions of the frontoparietal NOI, including regions of the left dorsolateral prefrontal cortex.

**Secondary hemispheric analysis**

Supplementary Figure 5 shows associations between right and left NOI thickness measures and neuropsychological tests in each group. Given the exploratory nature of these analyses, p-values for the supplementary hemispheric analysis were not corrected for multiple comparisons and should be interpreted accordingly. Measures of global decline in AD were associated with atrophy in both the left limbic network and left default network. Structure-function relationships between limbic NOI thickness and memory tests showed a strong left hemisphere predominance. Furthermore, there was a double dissociation between cortical thickness and cognition, with limbic network atrophy associated with memory function and frontoparietal network atrophy associated with executive function, which was seen only in the AD group. In T2DM thickness within the default NOI showed associations with both Memory Composite and Executive Composite. In HC, atrophy within all three networks was associated with RAVLT Delayed Recall.

**DISCUSSION**

The present study employed a relatively novel network-based approach to examine structure-function relationships impacting cognition across the spectrum from healthy to pathological cognitive aging. The primary hypothesis, that atrophy within distributed brain networks would be associated with declines in cognition across AD, T2DM, and HC, was upheld. Qualitative differences in structure-function relationships within AD and T2DM were observed following exploratory within-network GLM and hemispheric analyses. This suggests that different patterns of atrophy drive structure-function relationships in T2DM and AD, reflecting separable neurobiological substrates across different forms of pathological aging. Understanding these differences may help target future therapies aimed at slowing cognitive decline.

The limbic network contains anterior medial temporal regions including the entorhinal cortex, implicated in memory consolidation and retrieval, as well as the temporal pole, which is important in semantic memory encoding. In structural MRI studies in AD, gray matter atrophy is greatest in regions that comprise the limbic network, followed by those in the default network regions, with relative sparing of the frontoparietal network regions [20]. Additionally, regions within the limbic network experiences significant hypometabolism as shown with FDG-PET, but have relatively lower Aβ plaque burden compared to other networks [20]. The present study adds to existing literature by correlating limbic network atrophy with global cognitive and memory function across AD, T2DM, and HC. Left lateralization of these relationships in AD may be related to the semantic demands of verbal learning tests. The study also replicated the previously well-described association between medial temporal atrophy and recognition memory in AD [8, 55]. The limbic network’s structural relevance is supported by both seed-based fMRI methods and white matter tractography studies [56, 57]. Sub-regions of the temporal pole are involved in separable large-scale brain networks, suggesting that this area represent a multimodal “hub” integrating sensory, language, and limbic information [56]. The present finding of strong structure-function relationships within the limbic network suggests that breakdown in multimodal “hubs” may play a key role in cognitive decline for AD and as well as other forms of cognitive aging. However, direct comparisons with rs-fcMRI literature are limited due to concerns that the orbitofrontal and temporal pole are highly prone to artefactual signal on rs-fcMRI [58]. Findings from the present study, which implicate the limbic network, should be interpreted with the caveat that the exact boundaries of this network may show modality-specific variations.

The default network is intrinsically present in the absence of externally-oriented cognitive activity, and deactivated by tasks requiring sustained attention [59]. Impairments in default network connectivity are thought to develop early in AD pathology, and can be seen even in asymptomatic individuals at high risk of AD, including patients with autosomal dominant AD mutations or in healthy older adults with Aβ deposition [60, 61]. In T2DM, aberrant functional connectivity in the default network is associated with both declines in executive function on a verbal fluency test and with increased insulin resistance [62]. Findings from the present study found no significant associations between default network atrophy and cognition. This contrasts with rs-fcMRI
The mechanisms of neurotoxicity in T2DM and AD are complex and overlapping, and individual patients often present with more than one pathology. In animal models of AD, elements of the neurodegenerative cascade include oligomeric Aβ [67], tau [44], APOE [68], lipid metabolism [69, 70], and altered synaptic plasticity [71, 72]. Insulin resistance is an additional mechanism that is common to both T2DM and AD. Impaired insulin signaling may have multiple downstream effects including alterations in glucose metabolism, increased tau accumulation, and oxidative stress [73]. In a prospective study of non-demented adults, insulin resistance at baseline predicted subsequent atrophy of the hippocampus and parahippocampal gyrus and impaired performance on RAVLT encoding trials [13]. In healthy adults, hyperglycemia is associated with cortical thinning in AD-associated regions including the parahippocampal gyrus and temporal pole [74]. Furthermore, in observational studies, T2DM almost doubled the risk of developing AD [75]. Even in non-diabetic AD patients, there is impaired insulin and IGF-1 sensitivity in the hippocampus, and reduced insulin responses are associated with impaired episodic memory [76]. The present study adds the finding that cortical atrophy patterns drive structure-function relationships in both T2DM and AD, and that the effect is not significantly different by group. Qualitative differences seen on GLM and secondary analysis in each group are likely to be the product of separable degenerative processes, which converge to cause atrophy in distributed brain networks. Comparing brain structure-function relationships in T2DM and AD can highlight neurotoxic mechanisms leading to the increased risk of dementia in T2DM, improving prognostication in patients at risk of AD [77]. Since insulin resistance is amenable to multiple medication and lifestyle medications, it represents a promising therapeutic target to promote healthy cognitive aging [78].

Understanding the structure-function relationships that are most relevant in different forms of pathological aging may help target future therapies aimed at slowing cognitive decline. Since many older adults have more than one comorbid pathology affecting cognition, any effective treatment targeting pathological aging will require a high degree of individualization. Knowledge of network-based structure-function relationships can facilitate development of investigational therapies aimed at slowing cognitive decline and prevention onset of dementia, including both lifestyle and neuromodulatory approaches. For example, in our hemispheric analysis, the LMS Immediate Recall test was impaired in both T2DM and AD, and was associated with NOI.
atrophy, yet the association was driven by distinct networks and showed different hemispheric lateralization. In the future, this knowledge could be applied to an individual patient’s structural imaging and cognitive profile, and used to target network-based therapies such as non-invasive brain stimulation (NBS). Neuromodulatory treatments are currently being investigated in AD [79, 80]. However, it is not yet known which brain regions or cognitive functions would be most useful to target in patients with other forms of pathological aging. Additionally, it is possible that combing NBS with interventions aimed at reducing insulin resistance such as diet and exercise might be more effective in treating certain populations, including AD patients with concurrent T2DM or pre-diabetes. These questions require further systemic study.

Strengths of this study include a well-characterized study population with in-depth neuropsychological testing and neuroimaging among three groups on a spectrum of cognitive aging. This study was the first of its kind to use a network-based approach to make inferences about structure-function relationships among different forms of pathological aging. Our method demonstrated differences in patterns of network atrophy associated with cognitive decline in AD and T2DM, despite different severity of cortical atrophy and cognitive deficits in each group.

There are factors which may limit the generalizability of our findings. Our study had a relatively small sample size in each group, which limited the power of our secondary analyses. Our hemispheric analysis did not replicate structure-function relationships in HC seen in other studies, which had larger numbers of participants [43, 50]. Follow up studies in larger datasets would be required to confirm the hemispheric differences, and further elucidate patterns of atrophy that are driving structure-function relationships on GLM. Additionally, there was limited information about diabetes status in the AD cohort, and our T2DM and HC cohorts did not have CSF or PET Aβ biomarkers to rule out pre-symptomatic AD. However, since any overlap in pathology would have been expected to make group differences less robust, we do not think this significantly impacted the validity of our findings.

Conclusion

Prior research has found strong correlations between network atrophy and cognitive decline in AD [7, 8], but lacks a direct comparisons of patterns of structure-function relationships across a spectrum of cognitive aging. The present study demonstrates that atrophy within global brain networks is related to severity of overall cognitive dysfunction across AD, T2DM, and HC. Qualitative differences in the pattern of atrophy were seen in AD and T2DM, highlighting differences in neuropathologic mechanisms. In the future, measuring structure-function relationships may improve prognostication for older adults at high risk of cognitive decline [77], and allow for individualized targeting of future therapies using pharmacologic, lifestyle-based, and neuromodulatory approaches to promote healthy cognitive aging.
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